BRIEF COMMUNICATION 179

RECORDS OF ROTIFERS EPIZOIC ON CLADOCERANS FROM SOUTH AUSTRALIA

In a review of the rotifer genus *Brachionus* (Rotifera: Monogononta), it was noted that some species typically were collected as epizoites on planktonic crustaceans¹. For example, *Brachionus rubens* and less commonly *B. variabilis* occurred on *Daphnia* and *Ceriodaphnia* species. *Brachionus charini* was reported from eastern Europe as a commensal in the branchial chamber of *Coenestheria davidi*, a phyllopod². *B. sessilis* was recorded as an epizoite of *Diaphanosoma brachyurum*, and the known hosts of *B. rubens* and *B. variabilis* were listed as *Daphnia magna*, *D. pulex*, *D. longispina*, *Moina rectirostris*, *Ceriodaphnia* sp., *Polyphemus pediculus* and several macroinvertebrates³.

Although *B. rubens, B. sessilis* and *B. variabilis* are known from Australian waters⁴, all were collected freeswimming from the open water of hillabongs (Magela Ck, N.T., and Murray-Darling River system, Vic., N.S.W.). Significantly, the host species listed by Koste³ do not occur here⁵. We document here the first record of a facultatively epizoic brachionid from Australia, and add to both the known epizoic taxa and their "host" species.



Fig. 1. LP SEM view (1.01 × 10² ×) of B. novaezealandiae epizoic on the head (dorsal) of Pseudomoina lemnae. Staurastrum (alga) and attached ciliate protozoans also can be seen.

In a collection for phytoplankton taken from a farm dam at Hahndorf, S. Aust. (27.vii.83) a rich population of planktonic cladocerans hosted a population of brachionids. The predominant cladoceran was *Pseudomoina lemnae*, with *Daphnia carinata s.l.* and *Ceriodaphnia* sp. also abundant. Most larger individuals carried from 5-40 female rotifers attached by sticky foot-

gland secretions to the hosts' carapace; most of the rotifers were ovigerous, with 1–2 large amictic (parthenogenetic) eggs.

Some animals with attached rotifers were preserved in 4% formalin and prepared for light- and scanning electron microscopy (SEM)⁶. Representative SEM micrographs are shown in Figs 1 and 2. The rotifers have contracted into their loricas in response to the preservative, and some distortion of the lorica is evident, a result of the desiccation process for SEM. However, the taxonomically significant ventral margin is clear on the micrographs. The caudal lorica margin and foot-opening were examined in PVA-mounted preparations. The elongated anteromedian spines and absence of a flap over the foot-opening distinguish the specimens as *Brachionus novaezealandiae* (Morris), which, despite its name, is widely distributed in sodium-dominated waters in the southern hemisphere and may he cosmopolitan in this biotope⁷.

B. novaezealandiae is not listed as epizoic by Koste³, however Morris' original description (= B. variabilis var. novaezealandiae) notes that his material came from a pond at Totara, N.Z. "where they were in numbers, parasitic on Daphnia thomsoni". The type slide was found in the collection of the British Museum (Natural History), and although the coverslip was broken and the specimen somewhat desiccated and distorted through loss of mountant, it was identifiable clearly as the specimen from which Morris' figure was drawn. The slide is labelled "Proposed sp. nov. Brachionus novae-zealandiae C. B. Morris 1917.1.1 (all Parasitic on Daphnia) Totara, N. Otago. Formalin 25.10.11". Comparison of the South



Fig. 2 Anterior view (1.86 × 10² ×) of two B. novaezealandiae, same host. Animals are contracted. Single parthenogenetic eggs carried. Scalar 100 μm.

Australian epizoic form and the type material indicates that the pronounced caudal spines are reduced in the S. Aust. specimens, but are within the range of variability of this species reported from R. Murray B. novaezealandiae⁹.

Undoubtedly rotifer-cladoceran associations will be found to be more widespread among the Australian Rotifera with further study. A diverse assemblage of phytoplankton and protozoans, some of which can be seen

in Figs 1 and 2, also occurred on the three cladoceran genera examined. Such epizoite communities are virtually unstudied in Australian inland waters.

We thank Brendan Atkins, then a Botany undergraduate student, University of Adelaide, for bringing this material to our attention, and Charles Hussey, British Museum (Natural History) for his hospitality and assistance during a visit by one of us (RJS) to examine the BMNH rotifer collection.

¹**Ahlstrom, E. H.** (1940) Bull. Am. Mus. Nat. Hist. 77, 143-184.

²Kutikova, L. A., Kosova, A. A. & Khodorevsky, O. A. (1976) Zool. Zh. 55, 763-767. [Russian].

³Koste, W. (1978) Rotatoria. Die Rädertiere Mitteleuropas (Uberordnung Monogononta). 2 vol. revision of M. Voigt (1956). (Gebr. Borntraeger: Stuttgart).

⁴Shiel, R. J. (1983) Proc. R. Soc. Vict. 95, 33-37.

⁵Smirnov, N. N. & Timms, B. V. (1983) Rec. Aust. Mus. Suppl. 1, 1-132.

⁶Koste, W. & Shiel, R. J. (in press) Aust. J. Mar. Freshwater. Res.

⁷Pejler, B. (1977) Arch. Hydrobiol. Suppl. 53, 255-306.
⁸Morris, C. B. (1913) Trans. Proc. N.Z. Inst. 45, 163-167.
⁹Koste, W. (1979) Aust. J. Mar. Freshwater Res. 30, 237-253.

RUSSELL J. SH1EL, Dept of Botany, University of Adelaide, G.P.O. Box 498 Adelaide, S. Aust. 5001 and WALTER KOSTE, Ludwig Brill Strasse 5, Quakenbrück D-4570, Federal Republic of Germany.